

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
NORTHERN DIVISION**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ARTHUR LAMAR ADAMS AND
MADISON TIMBER PROPERTIES, LLC,**

Defendants.

No: 3:18-cv-252

**Carlton W. Reeves, District Judge
F. Keith Ball, Magistrate Judge**

RECEIVER'S FEE APPLICATION

for the time period beginning August 1, 2020 and ending September 30, 2020

October 31, 2020

/s/ Alysson Mills

Alysson Mills, Miss. Bar No. 102861
650 Poydras Street Suite 1525
New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
Telephone: 504-586-5253
Fax: 504-586-5253
amills@millsamond.com

*Receiver for Arthur Lamar Adams and
Madison Timber Properties, LLC*

Introduction

For many years Arthur Lamar Adams, through his companies Madison Timber Company, LLC and Madison Timber Properties, LLC, operated a Ponzi scheme that defrauded hundreds of investors. On May 9, 2018, Adams pleaded guilty to the federal crime of wire fraud. On October 31, 2018, he was sentenced to 19.5 years in prison.

On June 22, 2018, the Court appointed me Receiver of the estates of Adams and Madison Timber. The order of appointment sets forth my responsibilities and duties and provides that I shall receive reasonable compensation and reimbursement from the Receivership Estate.¹ The Court has instructed me to file a fee application “[w]ithin 30 days after the end of every 60-day period while the Receivership is in effect.”²

This is my fee application for the approximately 60-day period beginning August 1, 2020 and ending September 30, 2020. It contains the following parts:

	page
Receivership fee applications, generally	3
Pre-established billing parameters	4
Receivership Estate’s fees and expenses	5
Conclusion	8
Receiver’s declaration	9

The Securities and Exchange Commission ordinarily reviews and approves fee applications before I file them. Due to Hurricane Zeta, I was late providing this fee application to the Commission. It has received but has not had an opportunity to approve this fee application. I will advise the Court in the next 14 days if it has any objections.

¹ Doc. 33, Securities & Exchange Commission v. Adams, et al., No. 3:18-cv-00252 (S.D. Miss).

² Doc. 43 at p. 2, Securities & Exchange Commission v. Adams, et al., No. 3:18-cv-00252 (S.D. Miss).

Receivership fee applications, generally

A receiver's duties "are unique to the facts and circumstances of each case."³ It follows that a receiver's fees and expenses vary with the facts and circumstances of each case. The appointing court has broad discretion to determine the reasonableness of a receiver's fees and expenses.⁴

As before, in the course of preparing this fee application, I reviewed fee applications submitted by receivers in other Ponzi scheme cases, including *Securities and Exchange Commission v. Stanford International Bank, Ltd., et al.*, No. 09-cv-0298 (N.D. Tex.) ("*Stanford*"); *U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Trevor Cook, et al.*, No. 09-cv-3332 (D. Minn.) ("*Cook/Kiley*"); and *Securities and Exchange Commission v. John Scott Clark et al.*, No. 1:11-cv-46 (D. Utah) ("*Clark*").

Fee applications vary in format but provide the same basic content: a summary of work to-date, a statement of hours expended by professionals, a calculation of professional fees based on applicable hourly rates, a statement of expenses incurred in the ordinary course of business, and a declaration that all fees and expenses are accurate and reasonable. I provide the same basic content here.

Although fee applications are filed in the court's public record, the underlying records of each professional's time typically are not. This is because such records necessarily include confidential information (such as victims' names) and information subject to attorney-client or other privileges. To publish such information in the court's public record also would risk disclosing the receiver's legal strategies to defendants. For these reasons, I provide underlying records of each professional's time only to the Court.

This fee application is for the approximately 60-day period beginning August 1, 2020 and ending September 30, 2020. As before, I offer the following context:

³ 7 Bus. & Com. Litig. Fed. Cts. § 79:48 (4th ed.).

⁴ "It is well settled that such allowances are largely within the discretion of the district court . . ." *Commodity Credit Corp. v. Bell*, 107 F.2d 1001, 1001 (5th Cir. 1939).

The *Stanford* receiver's fourteenth fee application, filed in 2011, asked for a total of \$1,475,070.57 in fees for two months' work. That fee application was granted with a 20% holdback.⁵ Of course, at \$7.2 billion, the *Stanford* Ponzi scheme was considerably bigger than the Ponzi scheme in this case.

The *Cook/Kiley* Ponzi scheme, at \$190 million, is closer in size. The *Cook/Kiley* receiver's twenty-sixth monthly fee application, for January and February 2012, asked for \$440,902.18 in fees for two months' work. That fee application was paid in full.⁶

The *Clark* Ponzi scheme, at \$47 million, was considerably smaller than the Ponzi scheme in this case. The *Clark* receiver's fourteenth fee application, filed in 2014, asked for a total of \$182,584.50 in fees for three months' work. That fee application was paid in full.⁷

Pre-established billing parameters

The Court's order of appointment provides that I shall bill at \$275 an hour, and that my counsel shall bill at rates not to exceed \$275 an hour, with the exception of Brent Barriere, who shall bill at \$325 an hour.⁸

The Court's order of appointment authorizes me to incur expenses and make payments as in the ordinary course of business of the Receivership Estate⁹ and, separately, to employ persons to assist me in carrying out my duties as Receiver.¹⁰

Any compensation or reimbursement "will be interim and will be subject to cost-benefit and final reviews at the close of the receivership."¹¹ Any fee application may, in the Court's discretion, be subject to a holdback in the amount of 25%.¹²

⁵ Doc. 1478, Securities and Exchange Commission v. Stanford International Bank, Ltd., et al., No. 3:09-cv-0298 (N.D. Tex.).

⁶ Doc. 926, U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Trevor Cook, et al., No. 09-cv-3332 (D. Minn.).

⁷ Doc. 666, Securities and Exchange Commission v. John Scott Clark et al., No. 1:11-cv-46 (D. Utah).

⁸ Doc. 33 at p. 4, Securities & Exchange Commission v. Adams, et al., No. 3:18-cv-00252 (S.D. Miss).

⁹ Doc. 33 at p. 7–8, Securities & Exchange Commission v. Adams, et al., No. 3:18-cv-00252 (S.D. Miss).

¹⁰ Doc. 33 at p. 8, Securities & Exchange Commission v. Adams, et al., No. 3:18-cv-00252 (S.D. Miss).

¹¹ Doc. 33 at p. 14, Securities & Exchange Commission v. Adams, et al., No. 3:18-cv-00252 (S.D. Miss).

¹² Doc. 33 at p. 14–15, Securities & Exchange Commission v. Adams, et al., No. 3:18-cv-00252 (S.D. Miss).

Receivership Estate's fees and expenses*Fees*

The Receivership Estate's fees, including Receiver's fees and Receiver's counsel's fees, for the approximately 60-day period beginning August 1, 2020 and ending September 30, 2020 are:

	Rate	Hours	Total
Receiver's fees			
Alysson Mills	\$275	4.53	\$1,245.75
Receiver's counsel's fees			
Brent Barriere	\$325	4.9	\$1,592.50
Lilli Bass	\$275	7.9	\$2,172.50
Kristen Amond	\$220	25.7	\$5,654.00
Paralegal	\$150	1.6	\$240.00
			\$10,904.75

These fees are for work described in my Receiver's Reports filed on August 25, 2020 and ending October 23, 2020.¹³ In addition to litigating the lawsuits styled *Alysson Mills v. Butler Snow, et al.*, No. 3:18-cv-866; *Alysson Mills v. BankPlus, et al.*, No. 3:19-cv-196; *Alysson Mills v. The UPS Store, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:19-cv-364; and *Alysson Mills v. Trustmark, et al.*, No. 3:19-cv-941 (see "*Contingency fee cases—hours not billed*," below), my colleagues and I continued to administer business of the Receivership Estate. During the approximately 60-day period beginning August 1, 2020 and ending September 30, 2020, my colleagues and I: continued to fight the bankruptcy discharge of Bill McHenry's \$3,473,320 debt to the Receivership Estate; litigated the lawsuit styled *Alysson Mills vs. Stuart Anderson, et al.*, No. 3:20-cv-427, in which I announced a proposed settlement with Stu Anderson for the return of the \$130,520 in "commissions" he received in exchange for his sales of Madison Timber investments; continued to account for "commissions" and gifts paid by Adams, Madison Timber, or Wayne Kelly to individuals in exchange for their assistance in recruiting new investors to the Madison Timber Ponzi scheme;

¹³ Docs. 201 and 204, Securities & Exchange Commission v. Adams, et al., No. 3:18-cv-00252 (S.D. Miss).

continued to market nationally the 2,300+/- acres of undeveloped land in Lafayette County, Mississippi owned by Oxford Springs, LLC; continued to review records of banks, law firms, and other third parties that had professional relationships with Adams or Madison Timber; continued to confer with federal and state authorities; continued to communicate with investors in Madison Timber via phone, letter, email, and in-person meetings; continued to interview and depose individuals with first-hand knowledge of matters bearing on the Receivership Estate; continued to meet with counsel for third parties that had professional relationships with Adams or Madison Timber; and continued to research legal claims against third parties as new facts are discovered. Time records for the approximately 60-day period beginning August 1, 2020 and ending September 30, 2020 shall be separately provided to the Court for *in camera* review.

Of the hours recorded above, 32.9 hours, or \$7,659.50, were spent on the lawsuits *Alysson Mills v. Michael D. Billings, et al.*, No. 3:18-cv-679, and *Alysson Mills vs. Stuart Anderson, et al.*, No. 3:20-cv-427. In the *Billings* case we already obtained settlements from Wayne Kelly and Mike Billings worth approximately \$2,000,000 and \$800,000, respectively, and obtained a judgment against Bill McHenry for \$3,473,320; the hours recently recorded reflect time spent fighting McHenry's bankruptcy discharge. In the *Anderson* case, the hours recorded reflect time spent negotiating a proposed settlement with Stu Anderson for the return of the \$130,520 in "commissions" he received in exchange for his sales of Madison Timber investments. We litigate these cases on an hourly fee basis because they are not time- or cost-intensive, therefore we believe a contingency fee would be unfair to the Receivership Estate.

My colleagues and I are sensitive to the Receivership Estate's limited resources and we hope our fees reflect that. We have not charged for work that we believe was duplicative. We have not charged for travel. Our agreed-upon rates generally are lower than market rates.

Expenses

The Receivership Estate's expenses for the approximately 60-day period beginning August 1, 2020 and ending September 30, 2020 are:

Copier charges	\$13.80
Printer charges	\$104.30

Express mail and postage	\$94.98
Westlaw research	\$704.78
Deposition transcript	\$655.20
	\$1,573.06

These expenses are self-explanatory and are the kinds of expenses typically incurred in any legal matter. As always, I have not charged for long distance calls or travel.

Contingency fee cases—hours not billed

In addition to the hours reported above, my counsel and I have recorded a total of 1,799.2 hours on the lawsuits *Alysson Mills v. Butler Snow, et al.*, No. 3:18-cv-866; *Alysson Mills v. BankPlus, et al.*, No. 3:19-cv-196 (S.D. Miss); *Alysson Mills v. The UPS Store, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:19-cv-364 (S.D. Miss); and *Alysson Mills v. Trustmark, et al.*, No. 3:19-cv-941 (S.D. Miss.). I have not billed the Receivership Estate for this time. I believe billing the Receivership Estate for the time spent on these and possibly other lawsuits will deplete the Receivership Estate's limited resources. Vigorous litigation against well-resourced defendants makes lawsuits such as these costly.

In the interest of conserving the Receivership Estate's limited resources, my counsel has agreed to represent the Receivership Estate in these lawsuits on a contingency fee, or success-based, arrangement. Fishman Haygood, LLP shall advance all costs and out-of-pocket expenses and bear the entire risk of any losses. This arrangement is consistent with the Court's order of appointment¹⁴ and separate order regarding fee arrangement.¹⁵

Of course any fee arrangement is subject to the continuing oversight of the Court—and any fees paid by the Receivership Estate, whether on an hourly basis or as a contingency fee, are subject to the Court's review and approval at an appropriate time. No contingency fee will be paid without proper notice and application.

¹⁴ Doc. 43 at p. 10, Securities & Exchange Commission v. Adams, et al., No. 3:18-cv-00252 (S.D. Miss) (the Receiver shall make "a recommendation as to whether litigation against third parties should be commenced on a contingent fee basis to").

¹⁵ Doc. 189, Securities & Exchange Commission v. Adams, et al., No. 3:18-cv-00252 (S.D. Miss).

Conclusion

Currently the Receivership Estate has \$6,677,045.76 in the bank—still a healthy sum at this point but not enough to make a meaningful distribution.

As I have stated, the Receivership Estate's most valuable assets are the lawsuits it has filed and intends to file. I anticipate that the next few months will require substantial work by counsel, and possibly experts, as we litigate against third parties. As always I will monitor all work for inefficiencies and unnecessary expense.

In the meantime, I continue to believe our team is providing very good value for cost—particularly when viewed in the context of other receiverships, which at this point cost many multiples more per day.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
NORTHERN DIVISION**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ARTHUR LAMAR ADAMS AND
MADISON TIMBER PROPERTIES, LLC,**

Defendants.

No: 3:18-cv-252

Carlton W. Reeves, District Judge

DECLARATION OF ALYSSON MILLS

In support of the foregoing fee application for the approximately 60-day period beginning August 1, 2020 and ending September 30, 2020, I declare:

1. This application and all fees and expenses described in it are true and accurate.
2. The fees and expenses were incurred in the best interests of the Receivership Estate.
3. The fees are based on the rates agreed-upon in advance, which rates are reasonable, necessary, and commensurate with the skill and experience required for the work performed.
4. The fees are supported by records for time spent on services rendered, which I have separately provided to the Court for *in camera* review. The records set forth in reasonable detail an appropriate narrative description of the services rendered. The description includes indications of the participants in, as well as the scope, identification, and purpose of the activity that is reasonable under the circumstances.
5. The fees do not include time spent on the preparation of this application or its supporting documentation.
6. The expenses are supported by receipts or equivalent documents, which I have separately provided to the Court for *in camera* review.

7. With the exception of professionals I retained with the Court's prior approval, I have not entered into any agreement, written or oral, express or implied, with any person or entity concerning the amount of compensation paid or to be paid from the Receivership Estate or any sharing thereof.

DATED: October 31, 2020

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Alysson Mills".

Alysson Mills
Receiver